# Search for a drifting proton-electron mass ratio from $H_2$ and methanol





*Wim Ubachs Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam* 

*'Hydride Toolbox' Paris, 13 December 2016* 

## **Varying Constants of Nature ?**

Coupling constants are free parameters in Standard Model But cannot be varied at will

$$S = \int \left( L_{mat} + \frac{j_{\mu}}{c} A^{\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} e^{-2\phi} - \frac{\hbar c}{2l^2} \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi \right) d\Omega$$

Bekenstein – Barrow/Sandvik/Mageijo – Flambaum - Martins : consistent models extending the Standard Model

 $\Delta \alpha, \Delta \mu \rightarrow \phi$ 

 Coupling to matter/energy Variation on cosmological time scales
 "Connection to Dark Energy scenarios" 2) Coupling to environment-> "chameleon scenario"Dependence on local densityDependence on gravity

#### Empirical search for a change in $\mu$

#### Compare H<sub>2</sub> in different epochs



Practical: atmospheric transmission only for z > 2



### H<sub>2</sub> laboratory wavelengths The Amsterdam "XUV-laser"

#### XUV-laser excitation (90-115 nm)





Ubachs, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2004) Reinhold et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2006)

#### For HD

Ivanov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (2008)

Line Line Line A0  $\lambda_0$ Line  $\lambda_0$  $\lambda_0$ 95.894665(6) W1 P(3) 99.138 046 (8) L0 P(1) 111.006 251 (6) L8 P(3) 100.838615 (6) L13 R(3) W1 Q(1) 98.679 800 (5) L13 R(4)96.215 297 (6) L0 R(0) 110.812 733 (7) L8 R(0)100.182387 (5) W1 Q(2) 98.797 445 (6) 94.751 403 (10) 100.245 210 (5) L14 P(1)L0 R(1) 110.863 326 (7)L8 R(1)W1 Q(3) 98.972 929 (8) L8 R(2)L14 R(0)94.616 931 (10) L1 P(1) 109.405 198 (6) 100.398545(5)W1 R(0) 98.563 371 (5)L14 R(1)94.698 040 (10) L1 P(2) 109.643894 (6) L8 R(3)100.641416(6)L14 R(2) 106311.0 106311.6 106312.2 5)L9 P(1)99.280968(5)L1 P(3) 109.978718 (7) 6)L15 P(1)L1 R(0) 109.219 523 (6) L9 R(0)99.137 891 (5) 9) L15 P(3) L1 R(1) 109.273 243 (6) L9 R(1)99.201637(5)6) L15 R(0)L1 R(2) 109.424 460 (6) L9 R(2)99.355 061 (9) 7 L1 R(3) 109.672534 (6) L15 R(1)L9 R(3)99.597 278 (20) 7) L2 P(1) 107.892 547 (5) L10 P(1)98.283533(5)L15 R(2)5) L15 R(3) L2 R(0) 107.713874 (5) L10 P(2)98.486 398 (5) 5) 98.776882 (6) L15 R(4)L2 R(1) 107.769894 (5) L10 P(3)7) L2 R(2) 107.922542 (6) 98.143871 (5) L16 P(1)L10 R(0)L16 R(0)L2 R(3) 108.171 124 (7) L10 R(1)98.207427(5)8) L16 R(1)L2 R(4) 108.514554 (6) L10 R(2) $98.359\,107\,(5)$ L16 R(2) 5 L10 R(3) 98.596279 (6) L3 P(1) 106.460 539 (5) L17 P(1) 5) L11 P(1) 97.334 458 (5) L3 P(2) 106.690 068 (5) 17718.6 17718.5 17718.7 (6)L17 R(0)L11 P(2) 97.534 576 (5) L3 R(0) 106.288 214 (5) Wavenumber (cm<sup>-1</sup>) L17 R(1)92.464326(9)W2 R(3) 96.678035 (7) L11 P(3) 97.821804 (6) L3 R(1) 106.346 014 (5) 91.841 331 (9) W3 P(2) 94.961 045 (5) L3 R(2) 106.499 481 (5) L11 R(0)97.198 623 (5) L18 P(1)36(5)L18 R(0) L3 R(3) 106.747 855 (5) L11 R(1)97.263275 (5) >160 lines measured 38(5)L18 R(1) L11 R(2)97.415 791 (5) L4 P(1) 105.103 253 (4) L18 R(2) 33(5)97.655283 (6) L4 R(0) 104.936744 (4) L11 R(3)at ~  $\Delta\lambda/\lambda$  = 5 x 10<sup>-8</sup> 73(5)L11 R(4)97.980 512 (7) L19 P(1) L4 R(1) 104.995 976 (4) 57(4)L19 P(2)L4 R(2) 105.149857 (5) L11 R(5)98.389896(7)75(4)L19 P(3) L4 R(3) 105.397610 (4) L12 P(1)96.431064(5)Some lines at  $< 1 \times 10^{-8}$ 39(4)L12 P(2) 96.627 550 (5) L19 R(0)L5 P(1) 103.815 713 (4) .37(5)L19 R(1)L5 R(0) 103.654581 (4)L12 P(3) $96.908\,984$  (6) ULIT 000 (11) .... 91.295 107 (17) W3 R(4) 95.031 536 (5) L19 R(2)L5 R(1) 103.714 992 (4) L12 R(0) $96.297\,800(5)$ W4 P(2) 93.260 468 (10) L19 R(3)91.521225(17)L5 R(2) 103.869 027 (4) L12 R(1) $96.360\,800\,(5)$ W0 P(2)101.216 942 (6) W4 P(3) 93.479 006 (10) L5 R(3) 104.115892 (4) L12 R(2)96.504574(5)W4 Q(1) 93.057 708 (10) W0 P(3) 101.450 423 (6) L6 P(1) 102.593 517 (8) L12 R(3)96.767 695 (6) W4 Q(2) 93.178 086 (10) 97.083 820 (8) W0 Q(1) 100.977 088 (5) L6 R(0) 102.437 395 (8) L12 R(4)W0 Q(2) 101.093 845 (6) W4 Q(3) 93.357 794 (10) L6 R(1) 102.498790 (8) L12 R(5) $97.488\,649$  (9)

TABLE I: Comprehensive list of measured transition wavelengths of the Lyman (L) and Werner (W) lines using the ultr narrowband XUV laser source in Amsterdam. Values in nm.

#### "Sensitivity"



#### Perturbations









VLT – UVES Paranal, Chili

Keck – HIRES Hawaii





### Analysis method: "comprehensive fitting"

#### Produce molecular fingerprint

- $\lambda_{i}$  set of accurate wavelengths
- $f_i$  set of line oscillator strengths (from ab initio theory Meudon)
- $\Gamma_i$  set of damping coefficients (from ab initio theory Meudon)

#### Astrophysical conditions

- b Doppler width parameter
- z red shift
- N<sub>J</sub> column densities

Fit equation onto spectrum "Treat" HI and metal lines Multiple velocity components (?)

$$\frac{\lambda_i^z}{\lambda_i^0} \equiv 1 + z_i = \left(1 + z_{abs}\right) \left(1 + K_i \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu}\right)$$

 $K_i$  – set of sensitivity coefficients

#### The best system: J2123-005 at $z_{abs}$ =2.05

Unique spectrum from Keck; Resolution 110000 ; seeing 0.3" Spectrum from VLT; R=54000; seeing 0.8"; better SNR



# Q1441+272 ; the most distant $z_{abs} = 4.22$ ; 1.5 Gyrs after the Big Bang



Bagdonaite et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 071301 (2015)

 $\Delta \mu / \mu =$  (3.1 ± 1.6) x 10<sup>-6</sup>



W. Ubachs, J. Bagdonaite, E.J. Salumbides, M.T. Murphy, L. Kaper Search for a drifting proton-electron mass ratio from  $H_2$ Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 021003 (2016)

**Status** 

#### Long- rang $\lambda$ distortions: "Super-calibration corrections"



Papers: Rahmani et al (2013); Whitmore and Murphy (2014); Bagdonaite et al (2015)

# H<sub>2</sub> high redshift absorption systems

#### 10 H<sub>2</sub> proper absorption systems towards quasars analyzed:

		S.	<u>1</u> 2		1920 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 -	ti d			
Quasar	$z_{\rm abs}$	z <sub>em</sub>	RA(J2000)	Decl.(J2000)	$N({ m H_2})$	$N(\mathrm{HD})$	$N(\mathrm{CO})$	$N({\rm H{\scriptstyle I}})$	$R_{ m mag}$
HE0027-1836	2.42	2.55	00:30:23.62	-18:19:56.0	17.3			21.7	17.37
Q0347 - 383	3.02	3.21	03:49:43.64	-38:10:30.6	14.5			20.6	17.48
Q0405 - 443	2.59	3.00	04:07:18.08	-44:10:13.9	18.2			20.9	17.34
Q0528 - 250	2.81	2.81	05:30:07.95	-25:03:29.7	18.2	13.3		21.1	17.37
B0642 - 5038	2.66	3.09	06:43:26.99	-50:41:12.7	18.4			21.0	18.06
Q1232+082	2.34	2.57	12:34:37.58	+07:58:43.6	19.7	15.5		20.9	18.40
J1237+064	2.69	2.78	12:37:14.60	+06:47:59.5	19.2	14.5	14.2	20.0	18.21
J1443+2724	4.22	4.42	14:43:31.18	$+27{:}24{:}36.4$	18.3			21.0	18.81
J2123 - 0050	2.06	2.26	21:23:29.46	-00:50:52.9	17.6	13.8		19.2	15.83
Q2348 - 011	2.42	3.02	23:50:57.87	-00:52:09.9	18.4			20.5	18.31

M. Dapra et al, Astroph. J. 826, 192 (2016): CO in J1237 M. Dapra et al. MNRAS 454, 489 (2015):  $H_2$  in J1237

M. Dapra et al. MNRAS in press

+ 23 additional H<sub>2</sub> absorption systems towards quasars known
+ some 20 tentative detections [Balashev et al (2014)]

## Take home

μ does not vary

 $|\Delta \mu/\mu| < 5 \times 10^{-6}$  at  $3\sigma$  level

For redshifts z = 2-4

Limitations due to spectrometer design

W. Ubachs, J. Bagdonaite, E.J. Salumbides, M.T. Murphy, L. Kaper <u>Search for a drifting proton-electron mass ratio from H<sub>2</sub></u> Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 021003 (2016)

#### Remark on developments varying constants w.r.t. VLT



Wavelength distortions ThAr relate to beam pointing.

Solutions for 'ESPRESSO'

- Frequency comb calibration
- Fiber feeding
- Fibers designed for  $\lambda$  > 3700 Å

Problematic for  $H_2$  studies, only z > 3

# New Avenue: CO electronic absorption A-X (v',0) and electronic bands



Soleil Fourier-Transform



# New Avenue: CO electronic absorption A-X (v',0) and electronic bands



Full database of CO precision spectroscopy + relevant parameters



# Perturbations:

- Wavelengths
- Intensities
- K-coefficients



## CO in J1237+065 at z=2.69



J1237 Result: Based on CO +  $H_2$ 

 $\Delta \mu / \mu = (-5.6 \pm 5.6_{stat} \pm 3.1_{syst}) \cdot 10^{-6}$ 

## Tackling a Chameleon scenario

Dependence of  $\mu$  on gravitational field ?

Spectrum of GD-133 and GD29-38 Photosphere of White Dwarf stars

 $H_2$  in VUV

In search for the chameleon scenario (local conditions - gravity)

$$\phi_{WD} = \frac{GM}{Rc^2} \sim 10^4 \times \phi_{Earth}$$





#### Contributions of many lines in the B-X Lyman system



High temperatures High v populated Franck-Condon factors

#### Dependence of $\Delta \mu / \mu$ on gravitational field

Invoke partition function:

$$P_{vJ}(T) = \frac{g_I(J)(2J+1)\exp\left(\frac{-E_{vJ}}{kT}\right)}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_{\max}J}\sum_{J=0}^{J}g_I(J)(2J+1)\exp\left(\frac{-E_{vJ}}{kT}\right)}$$

Invoke intensities (1500 lines):

$$I_{i} = N_{col} f_{v'v''J'J''} P_{v''J''}(T)$$

Fit *T* and  $\Delta \mu / \mu$ 

GD133:  $\Delta \mu / \mu = (-2.7 + / - 4.7) \times 10^{-5}$ 



GD29-38:  $\Delta \mu / \mu = (-5.9 + / -3.8) \times 10^{-5}$ 

Bagdonaite et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 123002 (2014)

# What should you look at ??

Methanol: the extreme shifter



48372.4558 MHz; K=-1 48376.892 MHz; K=-1

12178.597 MHz; K=-33 60531.1489 MHz; K=-7

P. Jansen, L.-H. Xu, I. Kleiner, W. Ubachs, H.L. Bethlem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 100801 (2011)

## A Stringent Limit on a Drifting Proton-to-Electron Mass Ratio from Alcohol in the Early Universe

÷

Bagdonaite, Jansen, Henkel, Bethlem, Menten, Übachs, Science 339 (2013) 46



Effelsberg Radio relescope

## PKS-1830-211 "molecular factory"

at z=0.88582 (7.5 Gyrs look-back)

 $\Delta \mu$ 

#### Robust Constraint on a Drifting Proton-to-Electron Mass Ratio at z = 0.89 from Methanol Observation at Three Radio Telescopes



### PKS1830-211; systematic effects

Observations Effelsberg, IRAM30, ALMA

(a)  $V_{\rm LSR}$  [km/s] I Π E type A type  $v_{\rm Lab}$  [GHz] (d) 14 - 2011 ₫ **ALMA**  $V_{\rm LSR} \, [{\rm km \ s}^{-1}]$ ∎E ff IRAM. **IRAM** IRAM ∎Eff Eff 16 18  $T_{ex}$  [K] Obs. time [months]

J. Bagdonaite, M. Dapra, P. Jansen, H.L. Bethlem, W. Ubachs, S. Muller, C. Henkel, K.M. Menten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 231101 (2013)

# Extended- Very Large Array New Mexico

- Statistical 
$$\left|\frac{\Delta\mu}{\mu}\right| < 6 \times 10^{-8}$$

- Systematic effect lineshape





N. Kanekar, W. Ubachs, K.M. Menten et al., MNRAS 448, L104, 2015

## PKS1830-211; special system (but the only one)

S. Muller et al. A&A 2008, A&A 2011

time varying intensity frequency dependent spots



Radio-loud quasar "Blazar"



lensing methanol in SW

Intervening galaxy with cold methanol

Work in progress:

- Simultaneous observations at 25/32 GHz at EVLA
- Spatially resolve SE spot
- Frequency close by
- $\Delta K \sim 6.4$
- → most constraining

## **Thanks & Acknowledgement**







H<sub>2</sub>/ CO

CH3OH

Mario Dapra



Julija Bagdonaite





Edcel Salumbides



MingLi Niu



Michael

Murphy



Evelyne Roueff



Rick **Bethlem** 



Paul Isabelle Jansen

Kleiner



Christian Henkel



Karl Menten



Sebastien

Muller



Nissim Kanekar









